

June 30, 2014

The Honorable Harold Rogers U.S. House of Representatives 2406 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rogers:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the *Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* that we adopted in April. Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Todd Rokita U.S. House of Representatives 236 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rokita:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the <u>Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that we adopted in April.</u> Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Aaron Schock U.S. House of Representatives 328 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Schock:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the <u>Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that we adopted in April.</u> Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Kurt Schrader U.S. House of Representatives 108 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Schrader:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the <u>Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that we adopted in April.</u> Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Jim Sensenbrenner U.S. House of Representatives 2449 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Sensenbrenner:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the <u>Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that we adopted in April.</u> Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Adrian Smith U.S. House of Representatives 2241 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Smith:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the *Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* that we adopted in April. Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Jason Smith U.S. House of Representatives 2230 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Smith:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the *Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* that we adopted in April. Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable John Shimkus U.S. House of Representatives 2452 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Shimkus:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the <u>Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that we adopted in April.</u> Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Chris Stewart U.S. House of Representatives 323 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Stewart:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the *Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* that we adopted in April. Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Lee Terry U.S. House of Representatives 2266 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Terry:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the <u>Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that we adopted in April.</u> Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Mac Thornberry U.S. House of Representatives 2329 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Thornberry:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the <u>Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that we adopted in April.</u> Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely